example.

MR. CASE: And that is all. Under the Section 13 apparently this could go forward as an amendment to the existing Constitution. My question is this. Is this a valid way to do it in view of the fact that the present Constitution would still be in force and effect and Article 14, Section 2, deals with the method of amending the Constitution. Unfortunately, the Convention which this bill envisages will not be the Convention that Section 2 of Article 14 talks about.

MR. SCANLAN: I think you are sneaking around to your perennial objection, I won't say objection, your skepticism about the legality of the Convention itself.

MR. CASE: No, this is a different point.

The point is assuming the Convention is perfectly

legal and valid to produce a new document, but they for

some reason don't come up with a new document, as this

section suggests they might not, but only come up with

an amendment. Now they are going to propose an amendment.